Irreversibility and singularities

B. Dubrulle

CEA Saclay/SPEC/SPHYNX CNRS UMR 3680

Team EXPLOIT: T. Chaabo, A. Cheminet, Ch. Cuvier, F. Daviaud, P. Debue, B. Dubrulle, D. Geneste, J-M. Foucault, J-P. Laval, Y. Ostovan, V. Padilla, V. Valori, C. Wiertel

A. Barral, G. Costa, Q. Pikeroen, C. Campolina, A. Mailybaev

S. Thalabard , V. Shukla, G. Krstulovic, S. Nazarenko



Courtesy J.I. Polenco

Navier-Stokes is irreversible and non-equilibrium due to Viscosity and forcing



Non-equilibrium stationary states





We impose F at given viscosity We measure energy input needed to maintain E statistically constant

?

 $\epsilon_I(
u)$



Efficency of NESS of Navier-Stokes

$$\partial_t \int \frac{u^2}{2} dx^3 = \int F \cdot u \, dx^3 \cdot v \int (\nabla u)^2 \, dx^3$$
$$E \quad \epsilon_I \quad \epsilon_d(\nu)$$

Traditional protocol:

We impose F at given viscosity

We measure energy input needed to maintain E statistically constant



Dissipation 'anomaly" and Onsager's conjecture

Obs: dissipation does not depend on viscosity (« spontaneous symmetry breaking »)



Regular Test function of width P

Inertial dissipation= limit of local energy transfers

$$D(u) = \lim_{\ell \to 0} \frac{1}{4} \int_{r \le \ell} d^3 r \, \nabla \phi_\ell(r) \cdot \delta u_r |\delta u_r|^2$$

$$\delta u(\ell) \sim \ell^{\,h}$$
 In the limit of $\,\,\ell pprox 0$

$$D(u)[x] \propto \lim_{\ell \to 0} \ell^{3h-1}$$

 $\delta u = u(x+r) - u(x)$ Velocity increment "...in three dimensions a mechanism for complete dissipation of all kinetic energy, even without the aid of viscosity, is available."

> L. Onsager, 1949 See Eyink&Sreenivasan (2006)

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \mathbf{u}^2 + \operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{u}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^2 + p\right) - \nu\nabla\mathbf{u}\right) = D(u) - \nu(\nabla\mathbf{u})^2$$

Duchon&Robert. Nonlinearity (2000),

If $h > 1/3 \rightarrow$ Euler equation conserves energy, Dissipation in Navier-Stokes by viscosity. (Eyink 1994, Constantin et al, 1994)

If $h \le 1/3 \rightarrow$ Dissipation through irregularities (singularities) Without viscosity !

(Isett, 2018)

Onsager conjecture links irreversibility and singularity! I

Direct investigation using local dissipation



Local Lagrangian and Eulerian velocity measurements



$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \mathbf{u}^2 + \operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{u}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^2 + p\right) - \nu\nabla\mathbf{u}\right) = D(u) - \nu(\nabla\mathbf{u})^2\right)$$

Duchon&Robert. Nonlinearity (2000),



We can use this quantity as an indicator pointin Towards less regular regions

Inertial dissipation:

$$D(u) = \lim_{\ell \to 0} \frac{1}{4} \int_{r \le \ell} d^3 r \, \nabla \phi_\ell(r) \cdot \delta u_r |\delta u_r|^2$$
$$\delta u(\ell) \sim \ell^h \qquad \text{In the limit of } \ell \approx 0$$
$$D(u)[x] \propto \lim_{\ell \to 0} \ell^{3h-1}$$

Scalar regularity indicator D_{ℓ}^{I}

B. Dubrulle, JFM perspectives, 2019

Direct investigation using local dissipation



Dissipation 'anomaly" and Onsager's conjecture

Obs: dissipation does not depend on viscosity (« spontaneous symmetry breaking »)



$$D(u) = \lim_{\ell \to 0} \frac{1}{4} \int_{r \le \ell} d^3 r \, \nabla \phi_\ell(r) \cdot \delta u_r |\delta u_r|^2$$

$$\delta u(\ell) \sim \ell^{\,h}$$
 In the limit of $\,\ell pprox 0$

$$D(u)[x] \propto \lim_{\ell \to 0} \ell^{3h-1}$$

 $\delta u = u(x+r) - u(x)$ Velocity increment If $h > 1/3 \rightarrow$ Euler equation conserves energy, Dissipation in Navier-Stokes by viscosity. (Eyink 1994, Constantin et al, 1994)

If $h \le 1/3 \rightarrow$ Dissipation through irregularities (singularities) Without viscosity !

(Isett, 2018)

Onsager conjecture links irreversibility and singularity! I

Can we just run an Euler/ NSE equation using DNS?



Energy equipartition k² spectrum



Cichowlas et al, PRL, 2005

Courtesy J.I. Polenco

Due to thermalization, any truncated Euler equation ends in equilibrium state with k² spectrum

Alexakis&Brachet, 2019



« Thermalization » also happens for Navier-Stokes

From DNS to log-lattices

$$\partial_t \hat{u}_i = P_{ij} \left(-ik_q \hat{u}_q * \hat{u}_j + \hat{f}_j \right) - \nu_r k^2 \hat{u}_i,$$

Fourier grid



$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} * \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \qquad m = n + q, \ (m,n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$$

Campolina&Mailybaev, 2018



 $1 = \lambda^b - \lambda^a, 0 < a < b$

Log grid

LL-Euler, adaptative grid, Eqyilibrium reversible



Campolina&Mailybaev, 2018

A new protocol to capture weak solutions

 $\partial_t \int \frac{u^2}{2} dx^3 = \int F \cdot u \, dx^3 \cdot v \int (\nabla u)^2 \, dx^3 + \int D(u) d^3x$

Traditional way:

We impose F at given viscosity

We measure energy input needed to maintain E statistically constant

Alternative way using RNS

We **impose F at given E** We measure the **viscosity** needed to sustain constant E

$$\nu(E)$$

 $\epsilon_I(\nu)$

Gallavotti, PRL, 1996

ntrol parameter:
$$\mathcal{R}_r = rac{1}{\mathcal{E}} = rac{F}{Ek_I}$$

 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \,\mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu_{\mathrm{r}} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f},$

$$\nu_r = \frac{< f \cdot u > -D(u)}{< \omega^2 >}$$

If No anomaly

Co

$$\nu_{\mathbf{r}}[\mathbf{u}] = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\mathbf{x}}{\int_{\mathcal{D}} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})^2 \, d\mathbf{x}}.$$

Navier-Stokes and reversibility



Log-Lattice reversible Navier-Stokes



Costa et al, 2023

Log-Lattice reversible Navier-Stokes



DNS reversible Navier-Stokes



Costa et al, 2023

What is happening in the transition zone?



Costa et al , 2023

Phase transition in LL-RNS



Is there anomalous dissipation?



Costa et al, 2023

What is the limit of infinite resolution?



What is the limit of infinite resolution?



Conjecture



Conjecture



Costa et al , 2023

General case with adaptative grid



What is happening when k_max is reached?



Conjecture (2): scenario to obtain weak solution

Anomalous spectral laws in differential models of turbulence

Thalabard et al, 2015



Figure 4. Left: Compensated MHD spectra obtained numerically from the secondorder differential model at different times: earlier than t_{\star} (in blue) and later than t_{\star} (in red). The spectra are normalized by the total energy. The times are reported by the dots on the right inset. The blue dots correspond to $t/t_{\star} - 1 \simeq -6 \cdot 10^{-1}, -4 \cdot 10^{-1}, -10^{-1}, -4 \cdot 10^{-2}, -10^{-2}, -2 \cdot 10^{-3}, 0$; the red dots to $t/t_{\star} - 1 \simeq 4 \cdot 10^{-3}, 4 \cdot 10^{-2}, -10^{-1}, 4 \cdot 10^{-1}, 7 \cdot 10^{-2}$. The right inset shows the time evolution of the energy. $t_{\star} \simeq 8.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ marks the onset of energy dissipation. The figure is made using the data obtained by E.Buchlin and previously reported in [23] (in a different form).

Forward self-similar Blow-up in finite time

(h>1/3, not dissipative)

Backward self-similar front resulting in

 k^{-eta}

(h<1/3, dissipative)

Bridging classical and quantum turbulence

Observations



Blow-up in finite time with boundaries

ON THE BLOWING UP OF SOLUTIONS TO QUANTUM HYDRODYNAMIC MODELS ON BOUNDED DOMAINS *

IRENE M. GAMBA[†], MARIA PIA GUALDANI[‡], AND PING ZHANG[§]

Abstract. The blow-up in finite time for the solutions to the initial-boundary value problem associated to the multi-dimensional quantum hydrodynamic model in a bounded domain is proved. The model consists on conservation of mass equation and a momentum balance equation equivalent to a compressible Euler equations corrected by a dispersion term of the third order in the momentum balance. The proof is based on a-priori estimates for the energy functional for a new observable constructed with an auxiliary function, and it is shown that, under suitable boundary conditions and assumptions on the initial data, the solution blows up after a finite time.

A R-quantum hydro model as a toy model for SF?

$$\begin{split} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) &= 0, \qquad t > 0, \ x \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d, \\ (\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla P(\rho) &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \rho \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right) + \nu_r \Delta u + F \end{split}$$

Advantage:

*We naturally have the phase transition

*Two fluid phase: « superfluid »= weak solution; « normal » = viscous solution
« sound »=tygers; coupling automatic between the two phases
*We can have finite dissipation by weak solutions like observed in superfluids
*Forcing is easy

Finite resolution



Costa et al, 2023

Infinite resolution



Summary and Perspectives

Using log-lattices, we have identified in RNS a second order transition as a function of efficiency where the fluid changes : from *viscous Navier-Stokes* flow to *thermalized Euler Flow*

In the infinite resolution limit, the thermalized Euler Flow could tend to *dissipative weak solutions of Euler equation* of finite energy

- Can we get the possible weak dissipative solutions of LL-RNS?
- Are these things true for DNS-RNS?
- Is RQNS a good model of superfluid? What kind of structures are observed during the blow-up phase and normal fluid building?(role of quantum pressure)